

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address (Commerce of Patents and Trademarks
Washington DC (2023)
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09.935,107	08-23-2001	Preston Cutright	EL-8165	9311
7:	590 04 23 2002			
Michael J. Cronin, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Elementis Spec Wyckoffs Mill	Road		TOOMER, O	CEPHIA D
Hightstown, NJ 08520			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	5
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/935,107	CUTRIGHT ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON. ERR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	ireply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1	
i	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a		atters, prospection as to the morits is
closed in accordance with the practice up		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the applic		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	Application No
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application)
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	• •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _ Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Offic	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2

Art Unit: 1714

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 2. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The site at which the coal is obtained is irrelevant and does not further limit the synthetic fuel composition of claim 1.
- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no support for "at least about 90 wt% of the particle sizes (claim 13); a pug mill (claim 14). These limitations should be inserted in an appropriate place in the specification.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

4. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states. "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate

Art Unit: 1714

paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 5-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, the language "which has been compacted" renders the claim indefinite. Perhaps Applicant intended to recite – which is compacted --.

In claim 6, "functionized" should read – functionalized ---.

In claim 7, "acryrlate" should read - acrylate --.

Claim 11 contains the trademark/trade name Lorama JK270, JA250, JA250-3, ECOPlus and ECO. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade

Art Unit: 1714

name is used to identify/describe functionalized starch and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1, 4-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Derrick (US 3,893,847).

Derrick teaches fuel compositions comprising finely ground minerals or coal dust, water soluble polymers and water (see abstract; col. 1, lines 3-8; col. 3, lines 7-14). The polymers include copolymers of sodium acrylate and acrylamides and functionalized starches (see col. 1, lines 62-68; col. 2, lines 1-23; Table 2). The coal dust, water and polymer are combined and are compacted (see claims 1-4).

Accordingly, Derrick teaching all the material limitations of the claims anticipates the claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1714

10. Claims 2, 3 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Derrick.

Derrick has been discussed above. Derrick fails to teach the location at which the coal is obtained, nor does he teach that the coal dust is derived from anthracite. However, no unobviousness is seen in this difference because regardless of where the coal dust is obtained the product would still be the same.

With respect to the type of coal the dust is derived from, Derrick's general teaching of coal dust encompasses all coals and to have selected anthracite would have been well within the level of skill in the art.

Derrick also fails to teach that the fuel composition is prepared by a pug mill.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such a device because Derrick teaches that the fuel of his invention is prepared by use of a rotating disc pelletizer and a pug mill is a similar device.

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is cited for teaching synthetic fuel compositions and is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 703-308-2509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

Art Unit: 1714

872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Cephia D. Toomer

Examiner Art Unit 1714

09935107\2 April 20, 2002